**Asia Pacific College**

**School of Engineering**

**Methods of Research ECE (ECEMETH)**

**Proposal Defense Grading Rubrics (Adviser)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SCHEDULE** | | **Proponents** | **PROPOSED TITLE** | **Adviser :**  Engr. Leonardo A. Samaniego, Jr. |
| **Date:**  **September 14, 2024**  **Time : 11am-12pm**  **Room : AIRHub** | | Keanu Zebadiah P. Geronimo | **Technical Title:** | **Chair :**  **Engr. Stanley Glenn E. Brucal** |
| Dorothy Stelrose G. Lorenzo | **Lead Panel :**  **Engr. Luigi Carlo M. De Jesus** |
| X | **Preliminary Defense**  ***(Midterm period)*** | Ma. Veronica M. Tirol | **Member 1 :**  **Engr. Einstein D. Yong** |
| X | **Proposal Defense**  ***(Final term period)*** |  | **Short Title:** | **Member 2 :**  **Engr. Sergio R. Peruda, Jr.** |

1. **Comments :** *for the group and project title*
2. **For revisions**
3. **Additional requirements**
4. **Grading Rubrics**:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ADVISER GRADING RUBRICS**   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **7** | Exceptional | **6** | Very Good | | **5** | Good | **4** | Satisfactory | | **3** | Needs Improvement | **2** | Incomplete | | **1** | Undesirable | **N/A** | Not Applicable | | | | | | | | | | |
| **ADVISER** | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | **7** | **6** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** | **TOTAL** |
| **Communication Skills** | The students are able to communicate effectively in order to address the adviser’s problem. | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| **Professional Ethics** | The students exhibited well-mannered behavior, effective time management and outstanding professional integrity in designing the solution. | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| **Task Accomplishment** | The students were able provide a sound problem statement, clear and organized objectives, measurable constraints and well-defined functions. | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
| **Customer Satisfaction** | The students were able to design an over-all quality solution that fulfills its objectives. | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | | | | | | | | |  |

***Note: Adviser rating is 10% of the student term grade.***

**Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Designation: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**